FORM 10-0

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

[ X ] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2000

OR

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) of THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_

Commission File No. 1-11986

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

NORTH CAROLINA (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

56-1815473 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3200 Northline Avenue, Suite 360, Greensboro, North Carolina 27408

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(336) 292-3010

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

7,876,835 Common Shares, \$.01 par value, outstanding as of August 1, 2000

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC.

Index

Part I. Financial Information

Page Number

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999

3

Consolidated Balance Sheets

As of June 30, 2000 and December 31, 1999

4

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the six months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999

5

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

6

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

7

Item 1.	Legal proceedings	16
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	16
Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	16
Signatur	res	16

2

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

# TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share data)

Ended	Thr	ee Months Ended	Six Mor	nth
	June 30, June		ne	
30 <b>,</b> 1999	2000	1999	2000	
-^				
(unaudited)	(unau	dited)		
REVENUES	405	<b>20</b> 5	20S	
<\$> <c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	
Base rentals 34,163	\$ 17 <b>,</b> 962	\$ 17,092	\$ 35,420	
Percentage rentals	551	478	1,004	
Expense reimbursements	7,384	6,851	14,347	
13,209 Other income	1,393	718	2,336	
1,044	,		·	
Total revenues 49,302	27 <b>,</b> 290	25 <b>,</b> 139	53,107	
EXPENSES	0.060	7.000	15 505	
Property operating 14,228	8,268	7 <b>,</b> 339	15,707	
General and administrative 3,529	1,866	1,855	3,627	
Interest	6 <b>,</b> 937	6,042	13,599	
12,011 Depreciation and amortization	6 <b>,</b> 537	6,146	12,975	
12,325 				
	23 600	21,382	45 000	
Total expenses 42,093	·	•	•	
·				
Income before loss on sale of real estate, minority interest and extraordinary item	3 602	3 <b>,</b> 757	7 100	
7,209	·		•	
Loss on sale of real estate 	(5 <b>,</b> 935)		(5 <b>,</b> 935)	
Income (loss) before minority interest and extraordinary item 7,209	(2,253)	3,757	1,264	
Minority interest	756	(913)	(92)	
(1,739) 				
Income (loss) before extraordinary item	(1,497)	2,844	1,172	
5,470 Extraordinary item - Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net of minority interest of \$96				
(249) 				
 Net income (loss)	(1,497)	2,844	1,172	
5,221				
Less applicable preferred share dividends (960)	(467)	(481)	(933)	

Net income (loss) available to common shareholders 4,261	\$ (1,964)	\$ 2,363	\$ 239	\$
		:=======:	=======================================	
Basic earnings per common share:				
Income (loss) before extraordinary item	\$ (.25)	\$ .30	\$ .03	
5 .57 Extraordinary item				
(.03)				
Net income (loss)	\$ (.25)	\$ .30	\$ .03	
\$ .54	, (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Diluted earnings per common share:				
Income (loss) before extraordinary item	\$ (.25)	\$ .30	\$ .03	
5.57				
Extraordinary item (.03)				
Net income (loss)	\$ (25)	\$ .30	\$ .03	
\$ .54	· (.23)	7 .00	, .oo	
		:=======:	=======================================	
Dividends paid per common share	\$ .61	\$ .61	\$ 1.21	\$
1.21				·

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.  $\ensuremath{^{<\!\!}}$  TABLE>

3

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

## TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands except share data)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHE (In thousands, except share	
December 31,	June 30, 2000
1999	(unaudited)
ASSETS	(wildaz zea)
Rental Property <s> <c></c></s>	<c></c>
Land	\$ 60,397
\$ 63,045 Buildings, improvements and fixtures 484,277	495,760
Developments under construction 18,894	11,608
	567,765
566,216 Accumulated depreciation (104,511)	(111,307)
Rental property, net 461,705	456,458
Cash and cash equivalents	185
Deferred charges, net 8,176	8,425
Other assets 19,685	15,040
Total assets	\$ 480,108

Amortization of deferred financing costs

\$ 490,069			
<del></del>			=======
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
iabilites ong-term debt			
enior, unsecured notes		\$ 150,0	000
150,000 ortgages payable		89,9	962
0,652		•	
nsecured term note 		20,0	000
nsecured lines of credit 8,995		67,7	722
		327,6	684
29,647		•	
onstruction trade payables ,287		12,7	720
ccounts payable and accrued expenses 3,081		11,5	546
otal liabilities		351,9	950
49,015		· 	
Commitments Kinority interest		29,7	704
3,290		,	
hareholders' equity			
referred shares, $\$.01$ par value, 1,000, $\$.070$ shares issued and outstanding at			
nd December 31, 1999			1
ommon shares, \$.01 par value, 50,000,000	O shares authorized,		
,876,835 shares issued and outstanding			7.0
nd December 31, 1999 9			79
aid in capital 36,571		136,5	571
istributions in excess of net income		(38,1	197)
28,887)			
		0.0	4 = 4
otal shareholders' equity 07,764		98,4	454
otal liabilities and shareholders' equi	ty	\$ 480,1	108
490,069 ====================================		:========	
======			
he accompanying notes are an integral pa	art of these consolidated financial statements.		
/TABLE>			
4			
MADI DA			
TABLE> CAPTION>			
	ACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES		
C	ONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands)		
		Six Mont	ths Ende ne 30,
		2000	50,
999			
DEDATING ACTIVITATES		(Unau	udited)
PERATING ACTIVITIES S>		<c></c>	<c></c>
et income		\$ 1,172	\$
,221 djustments to reconcile net income to ne	et cash provided by		
perating activities:		10 075	
epreciation and amortization 2,325		12 <b>,</b> 975	
Amortization of deferred financing costs		603	5

603

519

Minority interest	92	
1,643		2.45
Loss on early extinguishment of debt Loss on sale of real estate	 5,935	345 
Gain on sale of outparcels of land	(427)	
Straight-line base rent adjustment (194)	101	
Increase (decrease) due to changes in:		
Other assets	520	
2,047 Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,535)	2,661
Net cash provided by operating activites	19,436	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Additions to rental properties (18,853)	(13,062)	
Additions to deferred lease costs	(1,378)	
(1,253)		
Net proceeds from sale of real estate Insurance proceeds from casualty losses	7,848 4,046	500
Advances to officer	(571)	300
(1,418)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,117)	
(21,024)		
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Repurchase of common shares (958)		
Cash dividends paid	(10,482)	
(10,446)	(0.570)	
Distributions to minority interest (3,655)	(3,678)	
Proceeds from mortgages payable		
66,500	(600)	
Repayments on mortgages payable (47,829)	(690)	
Proceeds from unsecured bank term note	20,000	
Proceeds from revolving lines of credit	40,332	46,303
Repayments on revolving lines of credit (58,700)	(61,605)	
Additions to deferred financing costs	(514)	
(900)		1.0
Proceeds from exercise of unit options		12
Net cash used in financing activities	(16,637)	
(9,673) 		
	(21.0)	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents (6,130)	(318)	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	503	6,330
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 185	\$ 200

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activities:

The Company purchases capital equipment and incurs costs relating to construction of new facilities, including tenant finishing allowances. Expenditures included in construction trade payables as of June 30, 2000 and 1999 amounted to \$12,720 and \$6,459, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

</TABLE>

### 5 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2000

(Unaudited)

#### 1. Interim Financial Statements

The unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc., a North Carolina corporation (the "Company"), have been

prepared pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC") rules and regulations, although management believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial statements. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature.

#### Development and Disposition of Rental Properties

In June 2000, the Company sold its centers in Lawrence, KS and McMinnville, OR. Net proceeds received from the sale totaled \$7.1 million. As a result of the sale, the Company recognized a loss on sale of real estate of \$5.9 million. The combined net operating income of these two centers represented approximately 1% of the total  $\,$  portfolio's  $\,$  operating  $\,$  income. The Company  $\,$ also sold land outparcels at two centers for net proceeds of \$715,000 and has included in other income a gain on sale of \$427,000.

During the first six months of 2000, the Company added 60,100 square feet to the portfolio in Commerce, GA and Sevierville, TN. In addition, the Company has approximately 225,000 square feet of expansion space under construction in four centers located in Riverhead, NY; Lancaster, PA;. Sevierville, TN; and San Marcos, TX.

Commitments to complete construction of the expansions to the existing properties and other capital expenditure requirements amounted to approximately \$9.8 million at June 30, 2000. Commitments for construction represent only those costs contractually required to be paid by the Company.

Interest costs capitalized during the three months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999 amounted to \$121,000 and \$264,000, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999 amounted to \$359,000 and \$610,000, respectively.

#### Other Assets

In May 2000, the demand notes receivable totaling \$3.4 million from Stanley K. Tanger, the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, were converted into two separate term notes of which \$2.5 million is due from Mr. Tanger and \$845,000 is due from Steven B. Tanger, the Company's President and Chief Operating Officer. The notes amortize evenly over five years with principal and interest at a rate of 8% per annum due quarterly.

#### Long-Term Debt

In January 2000, the Company entered into a \$20.0 million two year unsecured term loan with interest payable at LIBOR plus 2.25%. The proceeds were used to reduce amounts outstanding under the existing lines of credit. Also in January 2000, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements on notional

amounts totaling \$20.0 million at a cost of \$162,000. The agreements mature in January 2002. The swap agreements have the effect of fixing the interest rate on the new \$20.0 million loan at 8.75%.

At June 30, 2000, the Company had revolving lines of credit with an unsecured borrowing capacity of \$100 million, of which \$32.3 million was available for additional borrowings.

On July 28,  $\,$  2000, the Company  $\,$  entered into a five year secured term loan with Wells Fargo Bank for \$29.5 million with interest payable at LIBOR plus 1.75%. The proceeds were used to reduce amounts outstanding under the existing lines of credit.

#### Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators in computing earnings per share in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, Earnings Per Share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	2000	1999	2000	1999
Numerator: <s> Income (loss) before extraordinary item Less applicable preferred share dividends</s>			<c> \$ 1,172 (933)</c>	
Income (loss) available to common shareholders - numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share	\$(1,964)	\$ 2,363	\$ 239	\$ 4,510
Basic weighted average common shares Effect of outstanding share and unit options	7,877 	7 <b>,</b> 850 71	7 <b>,</b> 877 20	7 <b>,</b> 867
Diluted weighted average common shares	7,877	7 <b>,</b> 921	7 <b>,</b> 897	7 <b>,</b> 870
Basic earnings per share before extraordinary item	\$ (.25)	\$ .30	\$ .03	\$ .57
Diluted earnings per share before extaordinary item	\$ (.25)	\$ .30	\$ .03	\$ .57

  |  |  |  |The computation of diluted earnings per share excludes options to purchase common shares when the exercise price is greater than the average market price of the common units for the period. Options excluded totaled 1,521,000 and 379,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999, respectively, and 1,281,000 and 1,068,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The assumed conversion of preferred shares to common shares as of the beginning of the year would have been anti-dilutive. The assumed conversion of the partnership units held by the minority interest limited partner as of the beginning of the year, which would result in the elimination of earnings allocated to the minority interest, would have no impact on earnings per share since the allocation of earnings to a partnership unit is equivalent to earnings allocated to a common share.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this report. Historical results and percentage relationships set forth in the consolidated statements of operations, including trends that might appear, are not necessarily indicative of future operations.

The discussion of our results of operations reported in the consolidated statements of operations compares the three and six months ended June 30, 2000 with the three and six months ended June 30, 1999. Certain comparisons between the periods are made on a percentage basis as well as on a weighted average gross leasable area ("GLA") basis, a technique which adjusts for certain increases or decreases in the number of centers and corresponding square feet related to the development, acquisition, expansion or disposition of rental properties. The computation of weighted average GLA, however, does not adjust for fluctuations in occupancy that may occur subsequent to the original opening date.

7

#### Cautionary Statements

Certain statements made below are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend for such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Reform Act of 1995 and included this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words "believe", "expect", "intend", "anticipate", "estimate", "project", or similar expressions. You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect our actual results, performance or achievements. Factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to, the following:

- general economic and local real estate conditions could change (for example, our tenant's business may change if the economy changes, which might effect (1) the amount of rent they pay us or their ability to pay rent to us, (2) their demand for new space, or (3) our ability to renew or re-lease a significant amount of available space on favorable terms);
- the laws and regulations that apply to us could change (for instance, a change in the tax laws that apply to REITs could result in unfavorable tax treatment for us);

- availability and cost of capital (for instance, financing opportunities may not be available to us, or may not be available to us on favorable terms);
- our operating costs may increase or our costs to construct or acquire new properties or expand our existing properties may increase or exceed our original expectations.

#### General Overview

<CAPTION>

At June 30, 2000, we owned 29 centers in 20 states totaling 5.0 million square feet of GLA compared to 31 centers in 23 states totaling 5.1 million square feet of GLA at June 30, 1999. Since June 30, 1999, we have acquired one center and expanded 4 centers, increasing GLA by approximately 290,000 square feet. In addition, we sold two centers totaling 186,000 square feet in June 2000 and on May 3, 1999, a tornado destroyed our center in Stroud, Oklahoma, which had a GLA of 198,000 square feet and which was fully covered under our insurance policies.

During the first six months of 2000, we added 60,100 square feet to the portfolio in Commerce, GA and Sevierville, TN. In addition, we have approximately 225,000 square feet of expansion space under construction in four centers located in Riverhead, NY; Lancaster, PA; Sevierville, TN; and San Marcos, TX. In June 2000, we sold our centers in Lawrence, KS and McMinnville, OR. Net proceeds received from the sale totaled \$7.1 million. As a result of the two sales, we recognized a loss on sale of real estate of \$5.9 million. The combined net operating income of these two centers represented approximately 1% of the total portfolio's operating income. We also sold land outparcels at two centers for net proceeds of \$715,000 and included in other income a gain on sale of \$427,000.

8

A summary of the operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999 is presented in the following table, expressed in amounts calculated on a weighted average GLA basis.  $\langle \text{TABLE} \rangle$ 

Three Months Ended Six Months Ended June 30, June 30. 2000 1999 2000 1999 <S> <C> <C> <C> <C> 5,115 GLA open at end of period (000's) 5,021 5,021 5,115 5,176 Weighted average GLA (000's) (1) 4,963 5,171 5,000 29 31 Outlet centers in operation 31 New centers acquired ---Centers sold 2 \_\_\_ 2 1 Centers expanded ---States operated in at end of period 20 23 20 95 95 95 Occupancy percentage at end of period Per square foot Revenues \$ 3.47 \$ 3.44 \$ 6.85 \$ Base rentals 6.83 Percentage rentals .11 .10 .19 .18 1.43 Expense reimbursements 1.38 2.77 2.64 .14 Other income \_ ------5.06 Total revenues 5.28 Expenses 1.60 1.48 3.04 Property operating General and administrative .36 .37 . 70

.71 Interest 2.40 Depreciation and amortization 2.46	1.34	1.22	2.63
Total expenses 8.42	4.56	4.31	8.88
Income before loss on sale of real estate, minority interest and extraordinary item 1.44	\$ .72	\$ .75	\$ 1.38 \$

=== (1) GLA weighted by months of operations. GLA is not adjusted for fluctuations in occupancy which may occur

subsequent to the original opening date.

</TABLE>

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the three months ended June 30, 2000 to the three months ended June 30, 1999

Base rentals increased \$870,000, or 5%, in the 2000 period when compared to the same period in 1999. The increase is primarily due to the effect of the expansions and the acquisition completed since June 30, 1999, as mentioned in the Overview above, offset by the loss of rent from the center in Stroud, Oklahoma. The sale of the two centers in June of 2000 had a minimal effect on base rent since each occurred late in the quarter. Base rent per weighted average GLA increased by \$.03 per square foot from \$3.44 per square foot in the three months ended June 30, 1999 to \$3.47 per square foot in the three months ended June 30, 2000. The increase is the result of the expansions and due to the loss of the center in Stroud, Oklahoma which had a lower average base rent per square foot compared to the portfolio average.

Percentage rentals, which represent revenues based on a percentage of tenants' sales volume above predetermined levels (the "breakpoint"), increased \$73,000, and on a weighted average GLA basis, increased \$.01 per square foot in 2000 compared to 1999.

9

Expense reimbursements, which represent the contractual recovery from tenants of certain common area maintenance, insurance, property tax, promotional, advertising and management expenses generally fluctuates consistently with the reimbursable property operating expenses to which it relates. Expense reimbursements, expressed as a percentage of property operating expenses, decreased from 93% in 1999 to 89% in 2000 primarily as a result of higher operating costs and other non-reimbursable expenses during the 2000 period compared to the 1999 period.

Other income increased \$675,000 in 2000 compared to 1999 primarily due to the recognition of gains on sale of land outparcels totaling \$427,000 and business interruption insurance proceeds relating to the Stroud center.

Property operating expenses increased by \$929,000, or 13%, in the 2000 period as compared to the 1999 period and, on a weighted average GLA basis, increased \$1.12 per square foot from \$1.48 to \$1.60. The increases are the result of certain increases in real estate tax assessments and higher common area maintenance expenses.

General and administrative expenses increased slightly by \$11,000, or 1%, in the 2000 period as compared to the 1999 period and, as a percentage of total revenues, were approximately 7% of total revenues in both the 2000 and 1999 periods.

Interest expense increased \$895,000 during the 2000 period as compared to the 1999 period due to the incremental financing needed to fund the expansions since June 1999 and the November 1999 acquisition in Fort Lauderdale, FL and due to higher interest rates on our variable rate debt. Depreciation and amortization per weighted average GLA increased slightly from \$1.24 per square foot in the 1999 period to \$1.26 per square foot in the 2000 period.

Comparison of the six months  $\,$  ended June 30, 2000 to the six months  $\,$  ended June 30, 1999

Base rentals increased \$1.3 million, or 4%, in the 2000 period when compared to the same period in 1999. The increase is primarily due to the effect of the expansions and the acquisition completed since June 30, 1999, as mentioned in the Overview above, offset by the loss of rent from the center in Stroud, Oklahoma. The sale of the two centers in June 2000 had a minimal effect on base

rent since each occurred late in the quarter. Base rent per weighted average GLA increased by \$.02 per square foot from \$6.83 per square foot in the six months ended June 30, 1999 to \$6.85 per square foot in the six months ended June 30, 2000. The increase is the result of the expansions and due to the loss of the center in Stroud, Oklahoma which had a lower average base rent per square foot compared to the portfolio average.

Percentage rentals, which represent revenues based on a percentage of tenants' sales volume above predetermined levels (the "breakpoint"), increased \$118,000, and on a weighted average GLA basis, increased \$.01 per square foot in 2000 compared to 1999. For the first six months of 2000, reported same-store sales, defined as the weighted average sales per square foot reported by tenants for stores open since January 1, 1999, increased by 1% when compared to the first six months of 1999. Reported same-space sales for the rolling twelve months ended June 30, 2000, defined as the weighted average sales per square foot reported in space open for the full duration of each comparison period, increased 7% to \$278, reflecting the continued success of the our strategy to re-merchandise selected centers by replacing low volume tenants with high volume tenants.

Expense reimbursements, which represent the contractual recovery from tenants of certain common area maintenance, insurance, property tax, promotional, advertising and management expenses generally fluctuates consistently with the reimbursable property operating expenses to which it relates. Expense reimbursements, expressed as a percentage of property operating expenses, decreased from 93% in 1999 to 91% in 2000 primarily as a result of higher operating costs and other non-reimbursable expenses during the 2000 period compared to the 1999 period.

10

Other income increased \$1.3\$ million in 2000 compared to 1999 primarily due to the recognition of gains on sale of land outparcels totaling \$427,000\$ and incremental business interruption insurance proceeds relating to the Stroud center totaling \$779,000.

Property operating expenses increased by \$1.5 million, or 10%, in the 2000 period as compared to the 1999 period and, on a weighted average GLA basis, increased \$.19 per square foot from \$2.85 to \$3.04. The increases are the result of certain increases in real estate tax assessments and higher common area maintenance expenses.

General and administrative expenses increased \$98,000, or 3%, in the 2000 period as compared to the 1999 period and, as a percentage of total revenues, general and administrative expenses were approximately 7% of total revenues in both the 2000 and 1999 periods.

Interest expense increased \$1.6 million during the 2000 period as compared to the 1999 period due to the incremental financing needed to fund the expansions since June 1999 and the November 1999 acquisition in Fort Lauderdale, FL and due to higher interest rates on our variable rate debt. Depreciation and amortization per weighted average GLA increased 2% from \$2.46 per square foot in the 1999 period to \$2.51 per square foot in the 2000 period due to a higher mix of tenant finishing allowances included in buildings and improvements which are depreciated over shorter lives than other construction costs.

The extraordinary loss recognized in the 1999 period represents the write-off of unamortized deferred financing costs related to debt that was extinguished during the period prior to its scheduled maturity.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$19.4 million and \$24.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities is due primarily to a decrease in payables and an increase in receivables during 2000 when compared to 1999 offset partially by an increase in operating income. Net cash used in investing activities was \$3.1 million and \$21.0 million during 2000 and 1999, respectively. Net cash used was lower in 2000 primarily due to the decrease in cash paid for expansion activities, \$4.0 million received in insurance proceeds relating to the Stroud, Oklahoma center, and \$7.8 million received in net proceeds for the sale of the Company's centers in Lawrence and McMinnville. Net cash used in financing activities increased to \$16.6 million during the first six months of 2000 from \$9.7 million in 1999 due to the reduction of amounts outstanding under the lines of credit from the proceeds from insurance and property sales.

During the first six months of 2000, we added 60,100 square feet to our portfolio in Commerce, GA and Sevierville, TN. In addition, we have approximately 225,000 square feet of expansion space under construction in four centers located in Riverhead, NY; Lancaster, PA;. Sevierville, TN; and San Marcos, TX. Commitments to complete construction of the expansions to the existing properties and other capital expenditure requirements amounted to approximately \$9.8 million at June 30, 2000. Commitments for construction represent only those costs contractually required to be paid by us.

We are also in the process of developing plans for additional expansions and new centers for completion in 2000 and beyond. Currently, we are in the preleasing stage of a second phase of the Fort Lauderdale development that will include 130,000 square feet of GLA to be developed on the 12-acre parcel adjacent to the existing Bass Pro Outdoor World store. The local and state planning authorities are currently reviewing the project and they anticipate final approvals by fourth quarter of this year. We anticipate stores in this phase to begin opening in the second half of 2001. We also have an option to purchase the retail portion of a site at the Bourne Bridge Rotary in Cape Cod, MA. Based on tenant demand, we plan to develop a new 250,000 square foot outlet center. The entire site will contain more than 750,000 square feet of mixed-use entertainment, retail, office and residential community built in the style of a Cape Cod Village. The local and state planning authorities are currently reviewing the project and they anticipate final approvals by next year. Due to the extensive amount of site work and road construction, stores are not expected to be open until mid 2003.

11

The developments or expansions that we have planned or anticipated may not be started or completed as scheduled, or may not result in accretive funds from operations. In addition, we regularly evaluate acquisition or disposition proposals and engage from time to time in negotiations for acquisitions or dispositions of properties. We may also enter into letters of intent for the purchase or sale of properties. Any prospective acquisition or disposition that is being evaluated or which is subject to a letter of intent may not be consummated, or if consummated, may not result in accretive funds from operations.

During the first six months of the year, we have taken a number of steps to insure that we have the capital necessary to complete our development pipeline and to put us in a position to handle the debt maturities that will be occurring over the next twelve months. These steps include the following:

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

<caption> Lender</caption>	Loan	Amount	Status	Interest Rate
Fleet National Bank/				
<s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
Bank of America	2 yr unsecured	\$20.0 million	Closed 01/00	8.75% fixed
Wells Fargo Bank	5 yr secured	\$29.5 million	Closed 07/00	Libor+1.75%
New York Life	5 yr secured (renewal)	\$ 9.2 million	Commitment	9.125% fixed
Woodman of the World				
Life Ins. Society	10 yr secured	\$16.6 million	Commitment	8.86% fixed

 - |  |  |  |The loan commitments are scheduled to have documentation completed and loans closed during the third quarter of 2000. In addition, we have received commitments from Bank of America, Southtrust Bank, Fleet National Bank and Bank One to extend the maturities on the lines of credit until at least June 30, 2002. This additional long-term financing, the proceeds from the property sales, and internally generated cash flow will be used to fund approximately 462,000 square feet of profitable expansions to many of our successful, high volume centers over the next twelve months.

The financing transactions and the approximate 150 basis point increase in LIBOR rates over the last twelve months have effectively increased the average interest rate (including amortization of loan costs) on our outstanding debt from 8.1% in 1999 to an estimated 8.9% in 2000. Because of the long-term nature of the leases with tenants, we cannot immediately pass through the higher interest expense caused by this increase in market rates, which has begun to have an impact on earnings. At June 30, 2000, our total outstanding debt was \$327.7 million, approximately 73% of the outstanding long-term debt represented unsecured borrowings and approximately 79% of our real estate portfolio was unencumbered.

We maintain revolving lines of credit with Bank of America, Southtrust Bank, Fleet National Bank and Bank One that provide for unsecured borrowings up to \$100 million, of which \$32.3 million was available for additional borrowings at June 30, 2000. The closing of the term loan with Wells Fargo on July 28, 2000 increased the amount available by \$29.5 million. As a general matter, we plan to utilize our lines of credit as an interim source of funds to acquire, develop and expand factory outlet centers and to repay the credit lines with longer-term debt or equity when we determine that market conditions are favorable. Under joint shelf registration, the Company and the Operating Partnership could issue up to \$100 million in additional equity securities and \$100 million in additional debt securities. With the decline in the real estate debt and equity markets, we may not, in the short term, be able to access these markets on favorable terms. We believe the decline is temporary and we may utilize these funds as the markets improve to continue our external growth. In the interim, we may consider other strategies to generate additional capital to reinvest in attractive opportunities. These strategies may include the use of operational and developmental joint ventures, selling certain properties that do not meet

our long-term investment criteria, selling land outparcels at existing properties and other related strategies. Based on cash provided by operations, existing credit facilities, ongoing negotiations with certain financial institutions and funds available under the shelf registration, we believe that we have access to the necessary financing to fund the planned capital expenditures during 2001.

We anticipate that adequate cash will be available to fund our operating and administrative expenses, regular debt service obligations, and the payment of dividends in accordance with REIT requirements in both the short and long term. Although we receive most of our rental payments on a monthly basis, distributions to shareholders are made quarterly and interest payments on the senior, unsecured notes are made semi-annually. Amounts

12

accumulated for such payments will be used in the interim to reduce the outstanding borrowings under the existing lines of credit or invested in short-term money market or other suitable instruments. Certain of the our debt agreements limit the payment of dividends such that dividends will not exceed funds from operations ("FFO"), as defined in the agreements, for the prior fiscal year on an annual basis or 95% of FFO on a cumulative basis from the date of the agreement.

In May 2000, the demand notes receivable totaling \$3.4 million from Stanley K. Tanger, the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, were converted into two separate term notes of which \$2.5 million is due from Mr. Tanger and \$845,000 is due from Steven B. Tanger, the Company's President and Chief Operating Officer. The notes amortize evenly over five years with principal and interest at a rate of 8% per annum due quarterly.

On July 13, 2000, our Board of Directors declared a \$.6075 cash dividend per common share payable on August 15, 2000 to each shareholder of record on July 31, 2000, and caused a \$.6075 per Operating Partnership unit cash distribution to be paid to the minority interests. The Board of Directors also declared a cash dividend of \$.5474 per preferred depositary share payable on August 15, 2000 to each shareholder of record on July 31, 2000.

#### Market Risk

We are exposed to various market risks, including changes in interest rates. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates. We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

We negotiate long-term fixed rate debt instruments and enter into interest rate swap agreements to manage our exposure to interest rate changes. The swaps involve the exchange of fixed and variable interest rate payments based on a contractual principal amount and time period. Payments or receipts on the agreements are recorded as adjustments to interest expense. At June 30, 2000, we had interest rate swap agreements effective through January 2002 with a notional amount of \$20 million. Under this agreement, we receive a floating interest rate based on the 30 day LIBOR index and pay a fixed interest rate of 6.5%. These swaps effectively change our payment of interest on \$20 million of variable rate debt for the contract period to a fixed rate of 8.75%.

The fair value of the interest rate swap agreements represents the estimated receipts or payments that would be made to terminate the agreements. At June 30, 2000, we would have received \$138,000 to terminate the agreements. A 1% decrease in the 30 day LIBOR index would decrease this amount received by approximately \$282,000. The fair value is based on dealer quotes, considering current interest rates

The fair market value of long-term fixed interest rate debt is subject to market risk. Generally, the fair market value of fixed interest rate debt will increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise. The estimated fair value of our total long-term debt at June 30, 2000 was \$322.4 million and the recorded value was \$327.7 million. A 1% increase from prevailing interest rates at June 30, 2000 would result in a decrease in fair value of total long-term debt by approximately \$4.5 million. Fair values were determined from quoted market prices, where available, using current interest rates considering credit ratings and the remaining terms to maturity.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements

During 1998, the FASB issued SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 133 requires entities to recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position and measure those instruments at their fair value. In June 1999, the FASB issued SFAS No. 137 "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities-Deferral of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 133-an amendment of the FASB Statement No. 133" that revises SFAS No. 133 to become effective in the first quarter of 2001. We anticipate that, due to our limited use of derivative instruments, the adoption of SFAS No. 133 will not have a significant

#### Funds from Operations

We believe that for a clear understanding of the consolidated historical operating results of the Company, FFO should be considered along with net income as presented in the unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. FFO is presented because it is a widely accepted financial indicator used by certain investors and analysts to analyze and compare one equity real estate investment trust ("REIT") with another on the basis of operating performance. FFO is generally defined as net income (loss), computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, before extraordinary items and gains (losses) on sale of depreciable operating properties, plus depreciation and amortization uniquely significant to real estate. We caution that the calculation of FFO may vary from entity to entity and as such our presentation of FFO may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other reporting companies. FFO does not represent net income or cash flow from operations as defined by generally accepted accounting principles and should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of operating performance or to cash from operations as a measure of liquidity. FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash flows available to fund dividends to shareholders and other cash needs.

In October 1999, the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") issued interpretive guidance regarding the calculation of FFO. NAREIT's leadership determined that FFO should include both recurring and non-recurring operating results, except those results defined as extraordinary items under generally accepted accounting principles and gains and losses from sales of depreciable operating property. All REITS are encouraged to implement the recommendations of this guidance effective for fiscal periods beginning in 2000 for all periods presented in financial statements or tables. We adopted the new NAREIT clarification as of January 1, 2000 which had no impact on amounts previously reported as funds from operations.

Below is a calculation of FFO for the three and six months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999 as well as actual cash flow and other data for those respective periods (in thousands):

<TABLE>

<CAPTION>

Months Ended	Three Mo	nths Ended	Six
	June	30,	June
30,	2000	1999	2000
1999			
Funds from Operations:			
<s></s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
<c></c>			
Net income (loss) \$ 5,221	\$ (1,497)	\$ 2,844	\$ 1,172
Adjusted for: Extraordinary item - loss on early extinguishment of debt 249			
Minority interest 1,739	(756)	913	92
Depreciation and amortization uniquely significant to real estate 12,214	6,475	6,093	12,853
Loss on sale of real estate	5,935		5 <b>,</b> 935
Funds from operations before minority interest (1) \$ 19,423	,	\$ 9 <b>,</b> 850	•
======			
Weighted average shares outstanding (2) 11,698	·	11,749	
=======================================			
Cash flows provided by (used in): Operating activities			\$ 19,436
\$ 24,567 Investing activities			(3,117)
(21,024) Financing activities			(16,637)
(9, 673)			(10,007)

<sup>(1)</sup> For the three and six months ended June 30, 2000, includes \$427 in gains on sales of outparcels of land.

<sup>(2)</sup> Assumes the partnership units of the Operating Partnership held by the minority interest, preferred shares of the

and stock and unit options are converted to common shares of the Company.  $\ensuremath{^{<\!\!\!/}}\text{TABLE>}$ 

14

#### Economic Conditions and Outlook

The majority of our leases contain provisions designed to mitigate the impact of inflation. Such provisions include clauses for the escalation of base rent and clauses enabling us to receive percentage rentals based on tenants' gross sales (above predetermined levels, which we believe often are lower than traditional retail industry standards) that generally increase as prices rise. Most of the leases require the tenant to pay their share of property operating expenses, including common area maintenance, real estate taxes, insurance and advertising and promotion, thereby reducing exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation.

While factory outlet stores continue to be a profitable and fundamental distribution channel for brand name manufacturers, some retail formats are more successful than others. As typical in the retail industry, certain tenants have closed, or will close, certain stores by terminating their lease prior to its natural expiration or as a result of filing for protection under bankruptcy laws.

As part of our strategy of aggressively managing our assets, we are strengthening the tenant base in several of our centers by adding strong new anchor tenants, such as Polo, Nike, GAP and Nautica. To accomplish this goal, stores may remain vacant for a longer period of time in order to recapture enough space to meet the size requirement of these upscale, high volume tenants. As of June 30, 2000, our centers were 95% occupied.

Approximately 25% of our lease portfolio is scheduled to expire during the next two years. Approximately 697,000 square feet of space is up for renewal during 2000 and approximately 580,000 square feet will come up for renewal in 2001. If we are unable to successfully renew or release a significant amount of this space on favorable economic terms, the loss in rent could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Existing tenants' sales have remained stable and renewals by existing tenants have remained strong. Approximately 415,000, or 60%, of the square feet scheduled to expire in 2000 have already been renewed by the existing tenants at an average base rental rate approximately 5% higher than the expiring rate. In addition, we continue to attract and retain additional tenants. Our factory outlet centers typically include well known, national, brand name companies. By maintaining a broad base of creditworthy tenants and a geographically diverse portfolio of properties located across the United States, we reduce our operating and leasing risks. No one tenant (including affiliates) accounts for more than 7% of our combined base and percentage rental revenues. Accordingly, we currently do not expect any material adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition as a result of leases to be renewed or stores to be released.

1.5

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Neither the Company nor the Operating Partnership is presently involved in any material litigation nor, to their knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against the Company or the Operating Partnership or its properties, other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business and which is expected to be covered by the liability insurance.

#### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On May 16, 2000, we held our Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The matter on which common shareholders voted was the election of five directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The results of the voting are shown below:

Nominees	Votes For	Votes Against
Stanley K. Tanger Steven B. Tanger .	6,567,406 7,226,303	766,736 107,839
Jack Africk	7,183,435	150,707
William G. Benton	7,261,865	72,277
Thomas E. Robinson	7,262,415	71,272

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

None

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

None

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC.

By: /s/ FRANK C. MARCHISELLO, JR.

.....

Frank C. Marchisello, Jr.

Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

DATE: August 11, 2000

#### <ARTICLE> 5

<LEGEND>

The schedule contains summary financial information extracted from the financial statements as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2000 included herein and is qualified in its entirety by reference to such statements.

</LEGEND>

<MULTIPLIER> 1000

<\$>	<c></c>
<period-type></period-type>	6-MOS
<fiscal-year-end></fiscal-year-end>	Dec-31-2000
<period-end></period-end>	Jun-30-2000
<cash></cash>	185
<securities></securities>	0
<receivables></receivables>	0
<allowances></allowances>	0
<inventory></inventory>	0
<current-assets></current-assets>	0
<pp&e></pp&e>	567,765
<pre><depreciation></depreciation></pre>	111,307
<total-assets></total-assets>	480,108
<current-liabilities></current-liabilities>	0
<bonds></bonds>	327,684
<preferred-mandatory></preferred-mandatory>	0
<preferred></preferred>	1
<common></common>	79
<other-se></other-se>	98,374
<total-liability-and-equity></total-liability-and-equity>	480,108
<sales></sales>	0
<total-revenues></total-revenues>	53,107
<cgs></cgs>	0
<total-costs></total-costs>	15,707
<other-expenses></other-expenses>	12,975 <f1></f1>
<loss-provision></loss-provision>	0
<interest-expense></interest-expense>	13,599
<income-pretax></income-pretax>	1,264
<income-tax></income-tax>	0
<pre><income-continuing></income-continuing></pre>	1,172
<discontinued></discontinued>	0
<extraordinary></extraordinary>	0
<changes></changes>	0
<net-income></net-income>	1,172
<eps-basic></eps-basic>	0.03
<eps-diluted></eps-diluted>	0.03
<fn></fn>	
<f1> Depreciation and amortization</f1>	

</TABLE>